United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,192	04/21/2005	Walter Link	026032-4887	8765
26371 FOLEV & LA	26371 7590 08/21/2007 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 7777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE	EXAMINER		
777 EAST WI	SCONSIN AVENUE		WILSON, K	CAITLIN A
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3609		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/532,192	LINK, WALTER			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Kaitlin A. Wilson	3609			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IC CET TO EVOID	E 4 MONTU(S) OR TURTY (20) DAYS			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, rill apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 July 2007</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[2]	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement	•			
Applicat	ion Papers	•	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
		•				
Attachmen	• •					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 Noti	ice of Informal Patent Application er:			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Reciept is acknowledged of the election filed on 06/27/2007. The applicant's traverse is considered persuasive. However, after reviewing the claims and figures the examiner feels a different species restriction is proper as follows:
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I: Figures 1-5

Species II: Figures 6,7,11 and 12

Species III: Figures 8-10

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Within Species I the device is used to hold the rotatably mounted part (5) in a folded down position. The bolt (9) is moved into interaction through pin (24) with control device (10) when the rotatably mounted part (5) is put in a stored position. Species II relates to two rotatably mounted parts (3,5) where rotatably mounted part (3) is held in a forward position through the interaction with bearing (15). Plate (27) is used to protect the back of the seats in front

Application/Control Number: 10/532,192

Art Unit: 3609

Page 4

and the second rotatably mounted part (5) is then folded forward. The interaction of the control device, joint and locking pieces is made clear in Species I and the examiner believes the interaction between the joint and locking piece is different in Species II. The presence of joint (26) and arm in the second species makes it distinct from the configuration shown in Species I. Species III relates to a device to hold divided seat backs (29.1 and 29.2) in a use position and to allow separation and return of these parts (29.1 and 29.1). The bolt (9) and pin (24) interact in a reverse manner when the seat back is moved as compared to how they act in the first two species. Species I and II make no mention of the use of the device to hold two seat backs together, and only one seat back is shown in each Species. The examiner has found prior art with individual intend use, that relate to each Species separately.

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaitlin A. Wilson whose telephone number is (571)-270-3206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (7:00am-4:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-4491. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kaitlin A. Wilson

GEORGE B. NGLYMEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER